

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	CATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/889,251	09/889,251 11/01/2001		Robert K. Naviaux	UCSD1140-1	9760
28213	7590	04/15/2002			
0		E & FRIENDEN	EXAM	EXAMINER	
4365 EXECU SUITE 1600	ITIVE DE	RIVE	SPIVACK, PHYLLIS G		
SAN DIEGO, CA 92121-2189				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
					TALER NOMBER
				1614	
				DATE MAILED: 04/15/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/889,251 Applicant(s)

Naviaux

Examiner

Phyllis Spivack

Art Unit 1614



The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the correspondence address
communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by	CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed cation.
Status  1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☒ `This ac	ction is non-final.
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance closed in accordance with the practice under Ex particle.	except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is arte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims	
4) X Claim(s) 1-27	is/are pending in the application.
4a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5) Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
6) 💢 Claim(s) <u>1-27</u>	is/are rejected.
7) Claim(s)	is/are objected to.
	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.
Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/ar  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam	is: a) $\square$ approved b) $\square$ disapproved.
·	tive been received.  Inverse been received in Application No.  Inverse been received in this National Stage eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  The certified copies not received.
Attachment(s)	
15) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).
16) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  17) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	19) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  20) Other:

Application/Control Number: 09/889251

Art Unit: 1614

The undersigned Examiner supports the goal of the Office to advance prosecution as expediently as is reasonably possible. Cooperation is requested with respect to the timely submission of any references deemed pertinent to the present application along with Form PTO-1449.

Claims 1-27 are presented.

Claims 1, 2-25 and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which Applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 4 recites the limitation "carbonyl derivative of an amino acid". There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in claims 1 and 2.

Claim 5 recites the limitation "carbonyl derivative of a dicarboxylic acid". There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in claim 1.

The recitation in claim 1 and 25 "with substituents selected from the group consisting of H, C<sub>1-3</sub> alkyl, OH, NH<sub>2</sub> and halogen" lacks clarity. It is unclear whether the substitution relates to alkynyl, or alkyl and alkenyl as well.

The definitions for each group are preferably stated in an indented, subparagraph format. Clarification is required with respect to the  $R_3$ ,  $R_4$  and  $R_5$  terms. It appears each term may be hydrogen or optionally substituted alkyl, OH,  $NH_2$  and halogen.

Claims 4 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, both first and second paragraphs, as the claimed invention is not described in such full, clear, concise and exact terms as to enable any

Application/Control Number: 09/889251 Page 3

Art Unit: 1614

person skilled in the art to make and use the invention and for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter that Applicant regards as the invention.

Applicant fails to particularly point out the definition of both "a carbonyl derivative of an amino acid" and "a carbonyl derivative of a dicarboxylic acid". The metes and bounds of the recitations cannot be precisely determined. Numerous compounds that lack enablement and an adequate teaching as to how to prepare them are encompassed in the claims. Undue experimentation would be required to embrace the scope of the claims. Applicant should recite those derivatives contemplated.

Claims 1, 3-25 and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as based on a disclosure which is not enabling. Definitions for the groups  $X_2$  and  $X_3$  within the definition of  $R_2$  in Formula I are critical or essential to the practice of the invention, but are not included in claims 1 and 25. See *In re Mayhew*, 527 F.2d 1229, 188 USPQ 356 (CCPA 1976). One skilled in the art would be unable to prepare or to practice the claimed methods without a complete description of every term in Formula I.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 7, 25 and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Isono et at., JP 53056690 (abstract).

Art Unit: 1614

Isono teaches the administration of N-acetyl, 2',3',5'-triacetate cytidine, a compound of instant Formula I, to treat angina, a symptom of a mitochondrial disorder, and to treat a hormone imbalance which may relate to a mitochondrial disorder.

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371© of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

Claims 1-7 and 25-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by von Borstel et al., U.S. Patent No. 6,258,795.

Borstel teaches the administration of compounds of formula II and formula III to treat mitochondrial disorders or symptoms associated with mitochondrial disorders. See, in particular, column 10, lines 26-60.

No claim is allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Phyllis Spivack at telephone number (703) 308-4703.

April 12, 2002

PHYLLIS SPIVACK
PATENT EXAMINEF
GROUP 128 /6/4

Myllis Spwack